

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

The QCD partition function in the external field in the covariant gauge

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2006 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 L185 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/39/12/L03)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.101 The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 04:15

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 (2006) L185-L190

#### LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# The QCD partition function in the external field in the covariant gauge

# Andrei Leonidov<sup>1,2</sup> and Vladimir Nechitailo<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Theoretical Physics Department, P N Lebedev Physics Institute, 119991 Leninsky pr. 53, Moscow, Russia

 $^2$  Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 117259 B Cheremushkinskaya 25, Moscow, Russia

Received 15 November 2005 Published 8 March 2006 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/39/L185

#### Abstract

The QCD partition function in the external stationary gluomagnetic field is computed in the third order in external field invariants in arbitrary dimension and arbitrary covariant gauge. The contributions proportional to third order invariants in gluon field strength are shown to be dependent on the covariant quantum gauge fixing parameter  $\alpha$ .

PACS numbers: 11.15.-q, 11.15.Kc

### 1. Introduction

The notion of effective action plays a central role in exploring the nonlinear effects in quantum field theory [1]. This language is especially convenient for analysing the situations in which a description can be separated into contributions of quantum modes and external fields. Taking into account quantum fluctuations changes the tree-level description of the system through new nonlinear contributions in the tree-level fields that effectively correspond to new terms in the action of the system. Technically the computation is done for quantum modes propagating in the corresponding external field. These computations are, in particular in Yang-Mills theory, rather non-trivial. The physical answer should ideally be explicitly gauge invariant with respect to gauge transformations of both tree-level and quantum gauge field configurations. The invariance with respect to gauge transformations of classical fields is ensured by using the background field gauge [2]. Much more difficult is to cope with the dependence on the quantum gauge fixing parameter. Generically nothing forbids a dependence of contributions proportional to higher order invariants in the external field being from the quantum gauge fixing procedure chosen. Explicit calculations of corresponding contributions in high energy QCD [3, 4] illustrate this point. An interesting suggestion of coping with such problems is to consider a so-called gauge invariant effective action [5], which in the case of Yang–Mills theory amounts to performing calculations in the Landau gauge  $\alpha = 0$ .

0305-4470/06/120185+06\$30.00 © 2006 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK

Of special interest is the calculation of the effective action on manifolds with cylindrical geometry corresponding to computing the partition function (free energy) of the theory under consideration at finite temperature. The calculation of the one-loop QCD partition function in the external gluomagnetic field including the third-order invariants in the external gluon field strength in the Feynman gauge  $\alpha = 1$  was performed in [6]. Fully resummed non-local contributions to a number of lowest order contributions were computed in [7]. Let us note that apart from having purely theoretical significance, analysis of nonlinear contributions to the effective action (free energy) at finite temperature is of clear phenomenological interest. Nonlinear terms lead, in particular, to modification of the speed of sound in the non-Abelian medium [8] which affects its hydrodynamical evolution [9].

In the present paper we generalize the calculation of [6] to the case of arbitrary dimension and arbitrary covariant gauge.

#### 2. Computation of the partition function

The expression for the one-loop partition function (Z) of gluodynamics in the covariant gauge reads

$$-\frac{F}{T} = \ln \frac{Z[A,T]}{Z[0,T]} = -\frac{1}{4T} \int d^{2\omega} x \left(G^a_{\mu\nu}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \ln \det \mathcal{W}(\alpha) + \ln \det \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}D^2\right)$$
$$\equiv -\frac{1}{4T} \int d^{2\omega} x \left(G^a_{\mu\nu}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{F}{T}\right)^{\text{gl}} - \left(\frac{F}{T}\right)^{\text{gh}}.$$
(1)

Here F is the free energy, T is the temperature,  $D^2$  is a kinetic operator for the ghosts, and  $W_{\mu\nu}^{ab}$  is a gluon kinetic operator

$$\mathcal{W}^{ab}_{\mu\nu} = -D^2(A)^{ab}\delta_{\mu\nu} - 2f^{abc}G^c_{\mu\nu} - \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right)D^{ac}_{\mu}D^{cb}_{\nu},\tag{2}$$

where  $\alpha$  is a gauge fixing parameter,  $f^{abc}$  are the structure constants of the corresponding Lie algebra,  $D^a_{\mu}$  is a covariant derivative containing the external gauge field potential  $A^a_{\mu}$  and  $G^a_{\mu\nu}$  is the corresponding field strength. Let us emphasize that we keep in (1) a manifest dependence on the gauge parameter  $\alpha$ -dependence in the ghost determinant (usually it is hidden in the normalization constant) because of its importance in performing calculations in the Landau gauge  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ .

In computing the logarithms of the determinants in (1) it is convenient to use a  $\zeta$  -regularization

$$\ln \det A = -\lim_{s \to 0} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \frac{M^{2s}}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t \, t^{s-1} \mathrm{Tr} \, \mathrm{e}^{-tA}.$$
(3)

As the closed expression for the right-hand side of (3) does not exist, one is forced to use an expansion of  $\text{Tr} e^{-tA}$  in the invariants of the background field (Seeley expansion) [10]. For example, at zero temperature the expansion of the gluon kinetic operator W reads [11]

$$\operatorname{Tr}\langle x | e^{-\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^{ab}s} | x \rangle \sim \left( \int d^{2\omega}x \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{-w+k}(G_{\mu\nu}, w, \alpha | x) s^{-w+k}.$$
(4)

To calculate the functional trace in (4) it is convenient to use the basis of plane waves (see, e.g., [12, 13]),

$$Tr\langle x|e^{-Ws}|x\rangle = Tr \int \frac{d^{2\omega}p}{(2\pi)^{2\omega}} e^{-ipx} \langle x|e^{-Ws}|x\rangle e^{ipx}$$
$$= Tr \int d^{2\omega}x \int \frac{d^{2\omega}p}{(2\pi)^{2\omega}} \exp(-sW(\partial_{\mu} \to \partial_{\mu} + ip_{\mu}))1, \qquad (5)$$

where the last trace is performed over the Lorenz and colour indices. The explicit expressions for the first four Seeley coefficients at zero temperature were computed in [14, 15] (we refer for the detailed description of the calculation method to these papers):

$$b_{-\omega} = \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2^{2\omega}\pi^{\omega}} (2\omega - [1 - \alpha^{\omega}]),$$

$$b_{-\omega+1} = \frac{N_c}{2^{2\omega}\pi^{\omega}} (2\omega - [1 - \alpha^{\omega-1}]) \frac{\Gamma(\omega) - \frac{1}{\omega}\Gamma(\omega + 1)}{\Gamma(\omega)} A^a_{\mu} A^a_{\mu} \equiv 0,$$

$$b_{-\omega+2} = \frac{N_c}{2^{2\omega}\pi^{\omega}} \left\{ 2 - \frac{1}{12} (2\omega - [1 - \alpha^{\omega-2}]) \right\} G_2.$$

$$b_{-\omega+3} = -\frac{N_c}{2^{2\omega}\pi^{\omega}} \left[ \frac{1}{180} (2\omega - [1 - \alpha^{\omega-3}]) (G_3 - 3I_3) + \frac{2}{3}I_3 + \xi(\omega, \alpha)I_3 \right]$$
(6)

where

$$G_2 \equiv G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu}, \qquad G_3 \equiv f^{abc}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^b_{\nu\rho}G^c_{\rho\mu}, \qquad I_3 \equiv \left(D^{ab}_{\mu}G^b_{\mu\nu}\right)\left(D^{ac}_{\rho}G^c_{\rho\nu}\right)$$
(7)

are the invariants one can construct from the gluon field strength tensor and

$$\xi(\omega, \alpha) \equiv \frac{1}{4(\omega - 1)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\omega} \left( 4\omega - 1 - \alpha - \frac{\alpha^2}{1 - \alpha} [1 - \alpha^{\omega - 2}] \right) - \frac{3\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \frac{1 - \alpha^{\omega - 2}}{\omega - 2} \right\} - \frac{1 - \alpha^{\omega - 2}}{6(\omega - 2)}$$

represents non-trivial off-shell contribution vanishing in the Feynman gauge at  $\alpha = 1$ .

To calculate the trace in (5) at the finite temperature it is convenient to use the Matzubara formalism in which the Euclidean space has cylindrical geometry. The extension of the compact dimension is the inverse temperature  $\beta = 1/T$  and the fields are periodic in this coordinate with the period  $\beta$ . As a result the temporal integral in (4) is replaced by the sum over Matsubara frequencies  $\omega_n = (2\pi/\beta)n$  and (5) takes the following form,

$$\operatorname{Tr}\langle x|e^{-\mathcal{W}s}|x\rangle = \operatorname{Tr}\int_{0}^{\beta} \mathrm{d}\tau \int \mathrm{d}^{2\omega-1}x \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2\omega-1}p}{(2\pi)^{2\omega-1}} \\ \times \exp(-s\mathcal{W}(\partial_{0} \to \partial_{0} + \mathrm{i}\omega_{n}, \partial_{j} \to \partial_{j} + \mathrm{i}p_{j}))1.$$
(8)

Since the case of zero Matsubara frequency n = 0 is completely analogous to the zero temperature case for the theory in dimension  $2\omega - 1$ , in what follows we consider only the temperature-dependent contributions  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \rightarrow 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and keep only even powers of  $\omega_n$ . Technically summation in (8) can be performed in closed form only together with

Technically summation in (8) can be performed in closed form only together with integration over proper time in (3).

Let us first consider the contributions to the free energy in the first non-trivial order  $D^4$ . For the gluonic contribution one has<sup>3</sup>

$$-\left(\frac{F}{T}\right)_{4}^{g_{1}} = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{d}{ds} \frac{\Gamma(s+1/2+2-\omega)}{8\pi^{4-\omega}\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\zeta(2s+5-2\omega)}{\Gamma(s)} \\ \times \left(\frac{M^{2}}{4\pi^{2}T^{2}}\right)^{s} \left[2 - \frac{2\omega-1+\alpha^{s}}{12}\right] \frac{g^{2}N_{c}}{T^{5-2\omega}} G_{2}.$$
(9)

The ghost contribution in the same order  $D^4$  reads

$$-\left(\frac{F}{T}\right)_{4}^{\text{gh}} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \frac{\Gamma(s+1/2+2-\omega)}{8\pi^{4-\omega}\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\zeta(2s+5-2\omega)}{\Gamma(s)} \left(\frac{M^{2}\sqrt{\alpha}}{4\pi^{2}T^{2}}\right)^{s} \frac{1}{12} \frac{g^{2}N_{c}}{T^{5-2\omega}} G_{2}.$$
 (10)

<sup>3</sup> In what follows we assume that invariants (8) include integration over corresponding spatial coordinates.

The contributions to the free energy of gluons and ghosts in the next order  $D^6$  read (here when taking a limit  $s \to 0$  we assume that  $\omega \neq 3$ )

$$-\left(\frac{F}{T}\right)_{6}^{g_{1}} = -\frac{\zeta(7-2\omega)\Gamma(1/2+3-\omega)}{2^{6}\pi^{6-\omega}\sqrt{\pi}}\frac{g^{3}N_{c}}{T^{7-2\omega}}\left\{\frac{2\omega}{180}(G_{3}-3I_{3}) + \frac{2}{3}I_{3} + (1-\alpha)\frac{7+\alpha}{24}I_{3}\right\}$$
(11)

and

$$-\left(\frac{F}{T}\right)_{6}^{\text{gh}} = \frac{\zeta(7-2\omega)\Gamma(1/2+3-\omega)}{2^{6}\pi^{6-\omega}\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{g^{3}N_{c}}{T^{7-2\omega}} \left\{\frac{2}{180}(G_{3}-3I_{3})\right\}.$$
 (12)

Combining the gluon and ghost contributions for the free energy equations (9)-(12) we obtain the final expression for the regularized free energy:

$$-\left(\frac{F}{T}\right)^{\text{gl}} - \left(\frac{F}{T}\right)^{\text{gh}} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{d}{ds} \frac{\Gamma(s+1/2+2-\omega)}{16\pi^{4-\omega}\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\zeta(2s+5-2\omega)}{\Gamma(s)} \\ \times \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi^2 T^2}\right)^s \left[2 - \frac{2\omega-1+\alpha^s-2\alpha^{s/2}}{12}\right] \frac{g^2 N_c}{T^{5-2\omega}} \\ - \frac{\zeta(7-2\omega)\Gamma(1/2+3-\omega)}{2^6\pi^{6-\omega}\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{g^3 N_c}{T^{7-2\omega}} \\ \times \left\{\frac{2(\omega-1)}{180}(G_3-3I_3) + \frac{2}{3}I_3 + (1-\alpha)\frac{7+\alpha}{24}I_3\right\}.$$
(13)

We see that in the last formula the  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$  dependences are factorized unlike in the zero temperature case (6).

Special care has to be exercised when computing the QCD partition function in the physical dimension d = 4 ( $\omega = 2$ ). Let us first consider the contributions of the fourth order in covariant derivatives. The expressions for the gluon and ghost contribution to the free energy read

$$-\left(\frac{F}{T}\right)_{4}^{\text{gl}} = \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{N_c}{3} \left(10\ln\frac{M^2}{T^2} - \frac{1}{2}\ln\alpha\right) \frac{1}{4T}G_2$$
(14)

and

$$-\left(\frac{F}{T}\right)_{4}^{\text{gh}} = \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{N_c}{3} \left(\ln\frac{M^2}{T^2} + \ln\sqrt{\alpha}\right) \frac{1}{4T} G_2.$$
 (15)

We see that the expressions (14) and (15) contain contributions proportional to the logarithm of the quantum gauge fixing parameter  $\log \alpha$  which are singular in the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ . However, these terms in equations (14) and (15) cancel each other upon adding the gluon and ghost contributions. There are no other contributions singular in  $\alpha$  in the next order in covariant derivatives in any dimensions<sup>4</sup>. Let us emphasize again that all singularities in  $\alpha$  disappear only after regularization and taking into account the ghost contribution.

At zero temperature we have the leading singularities of the form  $[1 - \alpha^{\omega - N}]$  and 'subleading' terms which come from the contribution of order  $D^6$  in equation (8) that in the limit of  $\omega \to 2$  take the following form:

$$\xi(2,\alpha) = \frac{1}{24} \left\{ 3(7-\alpha) + 2\frac{\ln(\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)}(2+7\alpha) \right\}.$$
 (16)

<sup>4</sup> At  $\omega = 3$  we have no singularities in  $\alpha$  in the order  $D^4$  and the same logarithmic cancellation in the order  $D^6$  as for  $\omega = 2$  in the order  $D^4$ .

This basic difference between the zero and finite temperature cases is due to the existence of the natural energy scale (*T*) in the last case. If we try to estimate the corresponding determinants following [13] by introducing the energy scale  $\Lambda^{-1} = -b_0/b_1$  and writing

$$\ln \det \mathcal{W} = -b_0 \left( \ln \frac{M^2}{\Lambda} + \gamma_E - 1 \right) \tag{17}$$

 $(\gamma_E \text{ is Euler's constant})$  we will have the same cancellation of  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  singularity. To elucidate this statement let us consider a variation of the functional determinant under scale transformation. By using  $\zeta$ -function definition of a determinant it is easy to get the following equation [1]:

$$\ln \det\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}B\right) = \ln \det(B) - b_0 \ln \alpha.$$
(18)

If now we estimate both of the above determinants by using the formula (3) directly we obtain, instead of (18), the following expression:

$$\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} (1-\alpha^{\omega-N}) \Lambda^{\omega-N} b_{-\omega+N} \approx -b_0 \ln \alpha,$$

where  $1/\Lambda$  is the (upper) cut-off parameter in the integral over proper time. By comparing this expression with the explicit form of the Seeley coefficients (6) we conclude that all  $[1 - \alpha^{\omega - N}]$ -terms give nothing else than the contribution  $-b_0 \ln \alpha$  in the final answer.

Summing all contributions to the free energy we obtain the final expression for the logarithm of the QCD partition function in the physical dimension  $d = 4(\omega = 2)$ :

$$\ln \frac{Z[A, T]}{Z[0, T]} = -\left(1 - \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{N_c}{3} 11 \ln \frac{M^2}{T^2}\right) \frac{1}{4T} G_2 -\zeta(3) \frac{g^3}{64\pi^4} \frac{N_c}{T^3} \left\{\frac{1}{180} (G_3 - 3I_3) + \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1-\alpha}{48} (7+\alpha)\right) I_3\right\}.$$
 (19)

Let us stress that although the formula (19) does not contain singularities in the quantum gauge fixing parameter  $\alpha$ , the answer does depend on it off-shell.

Expressions in equations (13) and (19) constitute the main results of the paper.

## 3. Conclusion and outlook

Let us formulate the main result of the present paper. Using the heat kernel expansion we have computed the partition function of pure Yang–Mills theory to the third order in the invariants in the external field strength in arbitrary dimension and arbitrary covariant gauge in the case when only stationary spatial ('magnetic') gauge potentials of the external field were taken into account. The calculation demonstrates delicate  $\alpha$ -dependent cancellations between the gluon and ghost contributions to the partition function. The first non-trivial nonlinear contribution to the effective action was shown to depend on the quantum gauge fixing parameter  $\alpha$ .

The major question left unanswered at this stage is to complete the program by calculating the partition function taking into account the temporal gauge connection  $A_0$ . Work in this direction is currently in progress [16].

#### Acknowledgments

The work of A L was partially supported by the RFBR grant 04-02-16880, and the Scientific School support grant 1936.2003.02; the work of V N was supported in part by the RFBR grant 04-02-16445, Russian Science Support Foundation, and Dynasty Foundation.

# References

- [1] Shwarz A S 1989 Quantum Field Theory and Topology (Moscow: Nauka) (in Russian)
- [2] DeWitt B S 1981 A gauge invariant effective action *Quantum Gravity II* ed C J Isham, R Penrose and D W Sciama (Oxford: Oxford University Press)
- [3] Mueller A H and Qui J W 1986 Nucl. Phys. 268 427
- [4] Leonidov A 2001 Quantization, Gauge Theory and Strings: Proc. of the Intern. Conf. Dedicated to the Memory of Professor Efim Fradkin (Moscow, Russia, 5–10 June 2000) vol 2 ed A Semikhatov, M Vasiliev and V Zaikin (Moscow: Scientific World) p 542
- [5] Vilkovisky G A 1984 Nucl. Phys. B 234 125
   DeWitt B S 1987 The effective action Quantum Field Theory and Quantum Statistics: Essays in Honour of the Sixtieth Birthday of E S Fradkin ed I A Batalin, C J Isham and G A Vilkovisky (Bristol: Adam Hilger)
- [6] Leonidov A V 1990 Z. Phys. C 47 287–9
  [7] Leonidov A and Zelnikov A 1992 Phys. Lett. 276
- [8] Leonidov A V 1990 On power corrections in finite temperature QCD *Proc. of the Vacuum Structure in Intense Fields (Cargese)* pp 373–6
- [9] Nechitailo V A 1994 Phys. At. Nucl. 57 1315
- [10] Seeley R T 1968 Mathematika 12
- [11] Romanov V N and Schwartz A S 1979 Teor. Mat. Fiz. 41 190
- [12] Goldberger M L and Adams E N 1952 J. Chem. Phys. 20 240
- [13] D'yakonov D I, Petrov V Yu and Yung A V 1984 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 39 150
- [14] Guendelman E I, Leonidov A V, Nechitailo V A and Owen D A 1994 Phys. Lett. B 234 160
- [15] Guendelman E I, Leonidov A V, Nechitailo V A and Owen D A 1996 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 29 L211-5
- [16] Leonidov A and Nechitalo V in preparation